Document 40

Filed 10/14/25

Page 1 of 5

2:25-CV-01071-TLN-CKD

Case 2:25-cv-01071-TLN-CKD

BOCKIUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

Case 2:25-cv-01071-TLN-CKD Document 40 Filed 10/14/25 Page 2 of 5

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In accordance with Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Defendant Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., Toyota Motor North America, Inc., and Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. (collectively, "Toyota Defendants") respectfully request that the Court take judicial notice of the following documents, attached to the Declaration of Lisa R. Weddle ("Weddle Decl.") in support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint ("AC").

Exhibit A to Weddle Decl.: A true and correct copy of the Warranty and Maintenance Guide for the 2023 model year Toyota bZ4x, which is available at https://assets.sia.toyota.com/publications/en/omms-s/T-MMS-23 bZ4X/pdf/T-MMS-23 bZ4X.pdf.

Exhibit B to Weddle Decl.: A true and correct copy of the Warranty and Maintenance Guide for the 2024 model year Toyota bZ4x, which is available at https://www.toyota.com/content/dam/toyota/brochures/pdf/2024/T-MMS-24 bZ4X.pdf.

Exhibit C to Weddle Decl.: A true and correct copy of the Warranty and Maintenance Guide for 2025 the model year Toyota bZ4x, which is available at https://assets.sia.toyota.com/publications/en/omms-s/T-MMS-25bZ4X/pdf/T-MMS-25bZ4X.pdf? gl=1*1s9dzu4* tmna au*MTE0ODQzMTU0Ni4xNzU5Nzk0MTk5* tmn a ga*MTY0MjEzODM4MC4xNzU5Nzk0MTk5* tmna ga EP43E5EFVZ*czE3NjA0Nj M0NDkkbzYkZzEkdDE3NjA0NjM1OTAkajMwJGwwJGgw.

Exhibit D to Weddle Decl.: A true and correct copy of technical service bulletin T-SB-0095-23, which is available at https://static.nhtsa.gov/odi/tsbs/2023/MC-10247827-9999.pdf.

Exhibit E to Weddle Decl.: A true and correct copy of technical service bulletin T-SB-0026-23, which is available at https://static.nhtsa.gov/odi/tsbs/2023/MC-10238154-9999.pdf.

Exhibits A-E are subject to judicial notice because Plaintiffs reference them in the Amended Complaint and thus, they are incorporated by reference under Ninth Circuit law. Exhibits D and E

2:25-CV-01071-TLN-CKD

Case 2:25-cv-01071-TLN-CKD Document 40 Filed 10/14/25 Page 3 of 5

are also subject to judicial notice because they are publicly-available documents that are not subject to reasonable dispute.

II. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In evaluating Toyota Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, the Court may consider undisputed matters of public record and documents which form the basis of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. Trader Joe's Co. v. Trader Joe's United, No. 223CV05664HDVMARX, 2024 WL 305697, at *7 n.10 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2024) (considering public documents and documents incorporated by reference into the complaint); Quality Home Transp., LLC v. Wilshire Ins. Co., No. EDCV20278JGBKKX, 2020 WL 5260487, at *1 n.1 (C.D. Cal. May 15, 2020) (granting request for judicial notice "because the insurance policy is referenced throughout the Complaint and is incorporated by reference"). Two doctrines permit the Court to do so: the judicial notice doctrine and the incorporation by reference doctrine. Mulquin v. Nektar Therapeutics, 510 F. Supp. 3d 854, 862-63 (N.D. Cal. 2020), aff'd sub nom. In re Nektar Therapeutics Sec. Litig., 34 F.4th 828 (9th Cir. 2022); Cohen v. Apple Inc., 2020 WL 619790, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2020). The former permits the Court to consider extra-complaint information if it is "not reasonably subject to dispute." Mulquin, 510 F. Supp. 3d at 863 (citing Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)). The latter "treats certain documents as though they are part of the complaint itself" – preventing plaintiffs from "cherry-picking portions of documents that support their claims, while omitting portions of the same documents that weaken their claims." Id. (citing Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc., 899 F.3d 988, 998 (9th Cir. 2018)).

Here, Exhibits A-C are copies of Toyota's Warranty and Maintenance Guides, which contain the Limited and Powertrain Warranties applicable to 2023-2025 model year bZ4x Toyota vehicles, and which Plaintiffs incorporated by reference through the allegations in their Amended Complaint. When a plaintiff's complaint refers to an express warranty, courts in the Ninth Circuit routinely considers the terms of that warranty at the motion to dismiss stage. *See, e.g., Urb. v. Tesla, Inc.*, 698 F. Supp. 3d 1124, 1136 n.6 (N.D. Cal. 2023) ("Because Urban references the express warranty throughout the complaint, it is incorporated by reference into the complaint and can be considered on this Rule 12(b)(6) motion even though Urban omitted the warranty's language from

3

Case 2:25-cv-01071-TLN-CKD Document 40 Filed 10/14/25 Page 4 of 5

the complaint"); *Tietsworth v. Sears*, 720 F. Supp. 2d 1123, 1131 (N.D. Cal. 2010) ("[T]he actual terms of the one-year limited warranty, [] properly may be considered under the incorporation by reference doctrine"); *Garlough v. FCA US LLC*, No. 20-CV-01879-JAM-AC, 2021 WL 1534205, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2021) (considering contents of vehicle warranty based upon incorporation by reference doctrine); *Grassi v. Int'l Comfort Prod., LLC*, No. 15-CV-00253-JAM, 2015 WL 4879410, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2015) (limited parts warranty incorporated by reference). In attempting to plead their claims against the Toyota Defendants, Plaintiffs vaguely reference the warranties for the relevant 2023-2025 model year Toyota bZX4 vehicles throughout the Amended Complaint. AC ¶¶ 263-267, 322-323, 485-486, 588-589, 668-689, and 736-737. In doing so, they incorporate by reference the entirely of those warranties. *See Garlough*, 2021 WL 1534205, at *2. The Court thus can, and should, consider the actual warranties in Exhibits A-C when evaluating the Toyota Defendants' arguments in moving to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

The warranties are also subject to judicial notice because Toyota provides and makes available these warranties on its public website. As a result, the warranties' terms are facts "not subject to reasonable dispute" and "can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). District courts frequently take judicial notice of warranties that form the basis of the plaintiff's claims and that are available online, and this Court should do so here too. *See, e.g., Watkins v. MGA Ent., Inc.*, 550 F. Supp. 3d 815, 829 (N.D. Cal. 2021) (taking judicial notice of express warranty available on online); *In re Google Assistant Priv. Litig.*, 457 F. Supp. 3d 797, 813 (N.D. Cal. 2020) (same); *Kerkorian v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc.*, No. 18-CV-00870-DAD-SKO, 2019 WL 6918293, at *3 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2019) (same); *Datel Holdings Ltd. v. Microsoft Corp.*, 712 F. Supp. 2d 974, 984 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (same)

Exhibits D and E should also be considered by the Court in reviewing and ruling on the Toyota Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint. Where technical service bulletins are referenced in (but not attached to) a complaint, a court may consider them under the incorporation by reference doctrine. *In re Honda Idle Stop Litig.*, 694 F. Supp. 3d 1293, 1299 (C.D. Cal. 2023) (considering technical service bulletin that was referenced throughout complaint): *Resnick v. Hyundai Motor Am., Inc.*, No. CV1600593BROPJWX, 2017 WL 6549931, at *6 (C.D.

1 Cal. Aug. 21, 2017) (same); Gerstle v. Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc., No. 16-CV-04384-JST, 2017 2 WL 2797810, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 28, 2017) (same). Here, in Paragraphs 42 and 44 of the 3 Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs cite to the technical service bulletins that are Exhibits D and E to 4 the Weddle Declaration. Thus, the Court can and should consider both of those technical service 5 bulletins in ruling on the Toyota Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint. 6 III. **CONCLUSION** 7 The Toyota Defendants respectfully request that the Court take judicial notice of Exhibits 8 A-E to the Declaration of Lisa Weddle filed concurrently herewith in consideration of the Toyota 9 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint. 10 11 Dated: October 14, 2025 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Lisa R. Weddle 12 Brian M. Ercole Mark A. Feller 13 14 15 /s/ Lisa R. Weddle Lisa R. Weddle 16 Attorneys for Defendants Toyota Motor North America, Inc.; 17 Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc.; 18 Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Document 40

Filed 10/14/25

Page 5 of 5

ase 2:25-cv-01071-TLN-CKD

28